Oc be 23, 2015

Sent via U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail (governor@gov.state:ak.us)

DeaMGL eM dWaMed

M a e  $\mathcal{M}$  MCMee 1. I a e V ce  $\mathcal{M}$  e  $\mathcal{M}$  Le a a d  $\mathcal{M}$  b M c Ad cad  $\mathcal{M}$  e  $\mathcal{M}$  a a  $\mathcal{M}$  Ad cad  $\mathcal{M}$  e  $\mathcal{M}$  a a d ded called detention e c  $\mathcal{M}$  e  $\mathcal{M}$  e  $\mathcal{M}$  a a ded called detention e c  $\mathcal{M}$  e  $\mathcal{M}$  e  $\mathcal{M}$  e  $\mathcal{M}$  a  $\mathcal{M}$ 

I on et i dat e one production is a contract to the end of the contract of the end of the contract of the end of the end

<sup>1</sup> See McCauley v. University of the Virgin Islands, 618 F.3d 232 (3d C № 2010); DeJohn v. Temple University, 537 F.3d 301 (3d C № 2008); Dambrot v. Central Michigan University, 55 F.3d 1177 (6 C № 1995); University of Cincinnati Chapter of Young Americans for Liberty v. Williams, 2012 U.S. D , A. LEXIS 80967 (S.D. O № 1. 12, 2012); Smith v. Tarrant County College District, 694 F. St. 2d 610 (N.D. Te . 2010); College Republicans at San Francisco State University v. Reed, 523 F. St. 2d 1005 (N.D. Ca 2007); Roberts v. Haragan, 346 F.

By de, A e et a let a le

I A a t Maria de proprio a a ce able a a ed. Fileed ri, a eec ca t a right of call a ce ec a t ed. a let t old e combine. A est of ec ec t ed. a let t old ed. A. a est of ec ec t ed. a let t old ed. A. a est of ec ec t element of the combine. A combine a combine ec ec t element of the combine ec exect a let to be exacted a l

MPPM in the compination of the c

I a NeXa ed  $e^{ri}$  N, e a ea N  $e^{ri}$  de N a e a ca a a a, a c N e e, a di L e N  $e^{ri}$  e a e e N di ced bi e C ee F N e e  $e^{ri}$  E N e,  $e^{ri}$  a e U L e N  $e^{ri}$  C ca ea N e N  $e^{ri}$  e U L e N  $e^{ri}$  c I a e e c N, e d, i a N e e e, a d N e e, a d N e e, a d N e e, a d R e e, a d N e e, a d R e e, a d R e e e  $e^{ri}$  e U L e N  $e^{ri}$  e D  $e^{ri}$  e U L e N  $e^{ri}$  e D  $e^{ri}$  e

<sup>§ . .2</sup>d 853 (N.D. Te . .2004); Bair v. Shippensburg University, 280 F. § . .2d 357 (M.D. Pa. 2003); Booher v. Northern Kentucky University Board of Regents, 1998 U.S. D ,  $\checkmark$ . LEXIS 11404 (E.D. No. J. No. 1, 1998); Corry v. Leland Stanford Junior University, No. 740309 (Can Source Control of Con

A GAN Review of eV and a e of eA and of a contraction of the contracti

We all of the line of the part of the line of the line

Of c to the content by c conten

Mic Wheate, and I to the leaf of the configuration of the configuration



cc: