



Foundation for Individual Rights in Education

210 West Washington Square, Suite 303 · Philadelphia, PA 19106

Tel: 215.717.3473 · Fax: 215.717.3440 · fire@thefire.org · www.thefire.org

David French
PRESIDENT

December 16, 2004

Greg Lukianoff
DIRECTOR OF LEGAL AND
PUBLIC ADVOCACY

Edwin R. Massey
President
Indian River Community College
3209 Virginia Avenue
Ft. Pierce, Florida 34981-5596

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Alan Charles Kors
CHAIRMAN

Harvey A. Silverglate
VICE CHAIRMAN

URGENT

William J. Hume
Joseph M. Maline
Michael Meyers
Marlene Mieske
Daphne Patai
Virginia Postrel
Ed Snider
James E. Wiggins
Kenny J. Williams (d. 2003)

BOARD OF ADVISORS

David Brudnoy
Lloyd Buchanan
T. Kenneth Cribb, Jr.
Candace de Russy
William A. Dunn
Thor L. Halvorssen
Benjamin F. Hammond
Robert E. Hanrahan, Jr.
Nat Hentoff
Roy Innis
Wendy Kaminer
Woody Kaplan
Leonard Liggio
Herbert London
Peter L. Malkin
Milton Rosenberg
John R. Searle
Ricky Silberman
Christina Hoff Sommers

Confiscating a student group's flier advertising an R-rated movie and preventing it from even viewing the movie is both outrageous and unlawful. It is appalling that IRCC would violate its own students' moral, constitutional, and, indeed, human rights through these paternalistic actions. Highly offensive material, including profanity, is fully protected under the First Amendment—which IRCC, as a state institution, is obligated to uphold.

We further understand that IRCC has instituted a policy requiring student group faculty advisors be present at all student group meetings. This policy, plus the brazen acts of censorship listed above, show a deeply troubling lack of respect for the rights of your students, almost all of whom we may assume are over the age of eighteen (and even if they are not, R-rating only means that minors should be accompanied by adults, which, in this case, they would be). IRCC has a low opinion of its students, indeed, if it believes they cannot be trusted to meet on their own or watch films it deems "controversial." IRCC is further infantilizing its students by using the fact that *The Passion of the Christ* is R-rated to justify the censorship.

In FIRE's history we have seldom seen a more insulting justification of censorship than the one IRCC has offered CSF. Has IRCC consistently prevented students from showing R-rated movies on campus? If the answer is "yes," IRCC has imposed on its students an absurd and unjustifiable rule. If it is "no," then IRCC has singled out *The Passion of the Christ* for censorship in what amounts to an astonishing instance of viewpoint discrimination, which is explicitly forbidden under the First Amendment.

We strongly encourage you to read the landmark U.S. Supreme Court cases *Cohen v. California*, 403 U.S. 15 (1971), and *Hustler Magazine, Inc. et al. v. Jerry Falwell*, 485 U.S. 46 (1988). In *Cohen v. California*, the Court ruled that a Vietnam War protester's jacket bearing the words "Fuck the Draft" was constitutionally protected expression even when worn in a courthouse. Similarly, in *Hustler Magazine v. Falwell*, the Court ruled that the First Amendment protects even extraordinarily offensive satire and parody—in that case, a cartoon suggesting that the Reverend Jerry Falwell lost his virginity in a drunken encounter with his mother in an outhouse. Taken together, these cases decisively and clearly protect offensive material, farce, profanity, and exaggeration, and recognize that the "right to offend" serves a vital societal function. Does IRCC wish to argue that *The Passion of the Christ*—one of the top grossing movies of all time—is so much more offensive than the expression listed above as to be beyond the protection of the constitution?

Furthermore, IRCC's actions not only violate federal law, but also violate its own written policies defending free expression and freedom of conscience. IRCC's Student Standards of Conduct explicitly state that it "looks upon its students as mature individuals at an age of responsibility for their own actions." IRCC's policies also state that it recognizes "a fundamental obligation to encourage the pursuit of truth and toive maxi0.0002 Tgv0.00 Tw 18-1(nh ur)-0sportu.

FIRE requests that Indian River Community College fulfill its legal obligations and stated commitment to guard individual rights by immediately 1) affirming that CSF's expression is fully protected under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and that no device or contrivance will be used to circumvent those rights; 2) permitting CSF and other groups to meet without the presence of faculty; and 3) guaranteeing that CSF will no longer endure any censorship or retaliation for its constitutionally protected expression now or in the future.

FIRE hopes that we can resolve this situ