



Foundation for Individual Rights in Education

01 10
T 21 - 1 F 21 - 1 0 1 10

April 11, 2006

President Katherine Haley Will
Office of the President
Gettysburg College
300 North Washington Street
Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325

Sent via U.S. Mail and Facsimile (717-337-6666)

Dear President Will:

As you can see from our Directors and Board of Advisors, the Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (FIRE) unites leaders in the fields of civil rights and civil liberties, scholars, journalists, and public intellectuals across the political and ideological spectrum on behalf of liberty, legal equality, freedom of religion, academic freedom, due process, and, in this case, freedom of speech and expression on America's college campuses

Gettysburg's policy, while clearly intended to guard against predatory sexual behavior, instead dangerously trivializes sexual assault by equating heinous acts with innocent behaviors. It is an invitation both to mutual, unprovable allegations of the "he said/she said" variety and to the application of a double standard that would violate Gettysburg's commitment to treating its students equally. The policy is also impossibly overbroad because, in addition to prohibiting real misconduct, it also prohibits a significant amount of totally innocent behavior. It makes a criminal out of the student who, after several romantic dates, leans in to kiss his or her date without first asking "may I kiss you?" and receiving a verbal "yes." Does Gettysburg really want to place this kind of behavior on the same spectrum with forcible rape?

Further, a respect for substantive due process requires that a law must be able to be enforced without arbitrariness. Gettysburg's Sexual Misconduct Policy is unacceptably susceptible to arbitrary enforcement by the college. Taken literally, it likely prohibits most, if not all, of the sexual encounters that take place on Gettysburg's campus. (How many people of any age or in any situation request specific verbal consent before kissing their significant others?) Therefore, unless Gettysburg plans to prosecute all sexually or romantically active students on campus, its policy will necessarily be applied arbitrarily and at the sole discretion of administrators—a recipe for severe injustice.

When Antioch College implemented a similar policy in the early 1990s, it faced national ridicule. An article in the *New York Times* stated that "Antioch College in Yellow Springs, Ohio, apparently doesn't consider its students to be reasonable, thinking adults," and noted that the policy had quickly become known on campus as the "Mother, May I?" policy. An editorial in the *Chicago Tribune* called the policy a "wretched excess" and dubbed it "checklist love." An

Gettysburg will reaffirm, without delay, its commitment to being an honest, just, open, and decent institution.

We request a response on this matter by Monday, May 1, 2006. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,



Samantha K. Harris
Program Officer

cc:

Jane North, Executive Vice President and Assistant Secretary to the Board of Trustees,
Gettysburg College

Daniel R. DeNicola, Provost, Gettysburg College

Jeff Foster, Director of Student Rights and Responsibilities, Gettysburg College

Julie L. Ramsey, Vice President for College Life and Dean of Students, Gettysburg College

Patricia A. Lawson, Associate Vice President for Communications and Public Relations,
Gettysburg College